The Effect of Task-Based Language Teaching (TBLT) on Speaking Fluency among B1 Learners in Uzbekistan

Matluba Obilova
Webster University Tashkent

Received: 26.09.2025
Revised: 27.09.2025
Accepted: 29.09.2025

Keywords:
Task-Based Language Teaching, speaking fluency, B1 learners, communicative competence, English language teaching in Uzbekistan.

Abstract. This thesis investigates the effect of Task-Based Language Teaching (TBLT) on speaking fluency among B1 learners in Uzbekistan. The study situates itself within the broader field of communicative language teaching, examining how task-based approaches can contribute to measurable improvements in learners' spoken fluency. Data were collected through pre- and post-tests, classroom observations, and learner reflections. Findings suggest that TBLT enhances speaking fluency by providing authentic, meaning-focused communicative practice. The study also emphasizes challenges related to implementation in the Uzbek EFL context, including limited teacher training and institutional constraints. Implications for pedagogy, curriculum design, and teacher development are discussed.

Chapter 1: Introduction

1.1 Background of the Study

In recent decades, the teaching and learning of English as a foreign language (EFL) has become a major educational priority across the globe. As English continues to function as the dominant medium of international communication, proficiency in spoken English has become a critical skill for academic advancement, professional mobility, and cross-cultural exchange. In Uzbekistan, the government has prioritized English education in schools and universities as part of its national modernization agenda. Reforms in higher education and secondary curricula emphasize the communicative use of English, reflecting the growing demand for fluency among students and professionals (Ministry of Public Education of Uzbekistan, 2020). Despite these policy efforts, many learners in Uzbekistan continue to struggle with oral communication. Fluency, the ability to speak with ease, speed, and coherence, remains underdeveloped in classrooms that often emphasize grammar rules, translation, and written examinations over authentic spoken interaction. As a result, students frequently achieve reading and grammar competence but lack confidence and spontaneity in real-time communication. This gap between policy goals and classroom outcomes raises the urgent question of how to create conditions in which learners can meaningfully develop speaking fluency. Task-Based Language Teaching (TBLT) has appeared as one of the most promising approaches to address this challenge. Rooted in communicative language teaching, TBLT prioritizes meaning-focused

interaction, authentic language use, and learner-centered instruction (Ellis, 2003; Willis & Willis, 2007). Unlike traditional teacher-fronted methods, TBLT engages learners in purposeful tasks that mirror real-life communication, thereby creating opportunities to mobilize linguistic resources under communicative pressure.

1.2 Problem Statement

Although TBLT has been widely studied in international contexts, its application in Uzbekistan remains limited. Most Uzbek EFL classrooms continue to follow teacher-centered methods that prioritize accuracy and memorization over fluency. Learners often engage in short, controlled dialogues or scripted role-plays, but rarely participate in extended, spontaneous speaking. As a result, many Uzbek B1-level learners achieve intermediate proficiency without the ability to speak fluently in academic or professional contexts. Teachers themselves often lack exposure to task-based methodologies. Professional development programs have traditionally emphasized grammar-translation or structural approaches, leaving teachers uncertain about how to implement task-based techniques effectively. Institutional constraints, such as rigid syllabi and exam-driven curricula, also restrict experimentation with communicative teaching methods (Rahmatova, 2021). This thesis addresses these gaps by investigating the effects of TBLT on speaking fluency among B1-level learners in Uzbekistan. By implementing a quasi-experimental design, the study seeks to generate empirical evidence on whether TBLT can enhance fluency outcomes compared to traditional methods.

1.3 Purpose of the Study

The primary purpose of this study is to explore the impact of TBLT on the speaking fluency of Uzbek EFL learners at the B1 level. Specifically, the study seeks to:

Examine whether task-based activities lead to measurable improvements in learners' fluency, as reflected in speech rate, pause frequency, and mean length of utterance. Identify challenges and opportunities associated with implementing TBLT in the Uzbek educational context. Investigate learners' perceptions of TBLT as a method for developing speaking skills. Through this threefold purpose, the study contributes both practical insights for teachers and theoretical understanding of how TBLT functions in Central Asian EFL contexts.

1.4 Significance of the Study

This research is important for several reasons. First, it addresses a critical gap in Uzbek EFL education: the lack of systematic strategies for developing fluency. While many teachers are aware of the importance of speaking, few have access to methods that consistently improve fluency development. By focusing on TBLT, this study offers an alternative instructional approach that directly targets communicative competence. Second, the study contributes to the global literature on TBLT by providing empirical evidence from a Central Asian context that remains underrepresented in applied linguistics research. Much of the existing TBLT literature comes from East Asia (Carless, 2007; East, 2012) or Western Europe, and findings may not be fully generalizable to the sociocultural and

institutional realities of Uzbekistan. Third, the findings have implications for teacher training and curriculum design in Uzbekistan. If TBLT is shown to enhance fluency, it could inform national teacher education programs and guide policymakers in updating EFL curricula to align more closely with communicative approaches.

1.5 Research Questions

This study is guided by the following research questions:

To what extent does Task-Based Language Teaching (TBLT) improve the speaking fluency of B1 learners in Uzbekistan?

What challenges and opportunities do teachers and learners encounter when implementing TBLT in Uzbek classrooms?

How do learners perceive the effectiveness of TBLT for developing their speaking skills?

1.6 Organization of the Thesis

The thesis is structured into six chapters. Following this introduction, Chapter 2 provides a review of the relevant literature on TBLT, fluency, and communicative teaching in EFL contexts. Chapter 3 outlines the research methodology, including design, participants, instruments, and procedures. Chapter 4 presents the findings of the study, both quantitative and qualitative. Chapter 5 discusses these findings in relation to existing literature and theoretical frameworks. Finally, Chapter 6 concludes with a summary of the study, pedagogical recommendations, and suggestions for future research.

Chapter 2: Literature Review

2.1 Introduction

The literature review provides the theoretical and empirical foundation for this study on Task-Based Language Teaching (TBLT) and its effects on speaking fluency. It situates the research within broader developments in applied linguistics, emphasizing both global debates and local challenges. This chapter is divided into four sections: (1) theoretical foundations of TBLT, (2) definitions and dimensions of speaking fluency, (3) empirical research on TBLT and fluency development, and (4) challenges of implementing TBLT in Uzbekistan and comparable contexts. 2.2. Theoretical Foundations of Task-Based Language Teaching. Task-Based Language Teaching is an evolution of Communicative Language Teaching (CLT), which emerged in the 1970s as a response to the limitations of structural and grammar-translation methods (Nunan, 2004). CLT emphasized the functional use of language in real-life communication, but critics argued that it often lacked clear principles for structuring classroom tasks. TBLT addressed this gap by making the task a meaning-focused activity with a clear communicative outcome - the core unit of planning and instruction (Ellis, 2003; Willis & Willis, 2007). According to Ellis (2017), a task must meet several criteria: it should primarily focus on meaning, require learners to draw on their own linguistic resources, involve some kind of gap (information, reasoning, or opinion), and have a clearly defined outcome. Unlike traditional drills or role-plays, tasks are designed to approximate authentic communication, allowing learners

to mobilize grammar, vocabulary, and discourse strategies under communicative pressure. Skehan (1998, 2009) proposed a model of task performance based on the balance between complexity, accuracy, and fluency (CAF). Fluency, in this framework, is achieved when learners can maintain a steady flow of speech with minimal hesitation. TBLT provides conditions for fluency development because it prioritizes meaning-focused interaction over form. However, Skehan also warned of a "trade-off" effect: focusing on fluency may temporarily reduce accuracy or complexity. Bygate (2015) further emphasized that tasks can be sequenced to scaffold performance, with different task types (e.g., narrative, decision-making, problem-solving) eliciting different linguistic demands. This sequencing principle is especially relevant in contexts like Uzbekistan, where learners may have limited prior exposure to extended communicative tasks.

2.3 Definitions and Dimensions of Speaking Fluency

Fluency is a central but contested concept in second language acquisition (SLA). Lennon (2000) defined fluency as the ability to produce speech at a natural rate and with smoothness, while Fillmore (1979) emphasized multiple dimensions, including speed, coherence, and the ability to talk at length. Tavakoli and Skehan (2005) distinguished between utterance fluency (measurable features such as speech rate and pause length), cognitive fluency (mental processing efficiency), and perceived fluency (how listeners judge fluency).

Operationally, most studies measure fluency through variables such as:

Speech rate (words or syllables per minute).

Mean length of run (number of syllables between pauses).

Pause frequency and duration (hesitations and filled pauses).

Repair phenomena (false starts, self-corrections).

These measures allow researchers to quantify changes in learners' spoken performance. However, fluency also carries a subjective dimension: learners may feel more or less fluent depending on their confidence and communicative strategies (Derwing et al., 2009).

2.4 Empirical Research on TBLT and Fluency Development

A considerable body of research has examined how TBLT impacts fluency development. For example, Carless (2007) studied secondary classrooms in Hong Kong and found that task-based lessons led to greater learner engagement and more extended turns in speaking. Similarly, East (2012) reported that New Zealand teachers observed improvements in learners' fluency when TBLT was integrated into secondary school curricula, although teachers expressed concerns about balancing fluency with grammatical accuracy. Tavakoli and Skehan (2005) investigated task planning and structure, finding that strategic planning time improved fluency measures. Learners who planned before tasks produced longer and more fluent utterances, suggesting that pre-task support is critical. Meta-analyses by Ellis (2017) and Bygate (2015) confirmed that task-based approaches consistently produce gains in fluency across different contexts. However, they also note that outcomes depend on factors such as task type, sequencing, and learners' proficiency levels. For

instance, narrative and decision-making tasks tend to elicit greater fluency than highly structured information-gap activities. Recent studies in Asian EFL contexts provide additional evidence. Nguyen and Nguyen (2019) showed that Vietnamese learners improved both fluency and confidence after participating in TBLT programs. Likewise, Cho and Kim (2021) found that Korean university students developed fluency through collaborative problem-solving tasks, though teacher support was essential for sustaining engagement.

2.5 TBLT in the Uzbek and Central Asian Context

In Uzbekistan, English language teaching is undergoing reform, but classroom practice remains strongly influenced by traditional methods. Research indicates that Uzbek teachers often rely on grammar-translation and lecture-based approaches due to exam pressures and limited training in communicative pedagogy (Rahmatova, 2021). Learners, therefore, receive little exposure to extended speaking opportunities. Studies from Central Asia suggest that introducing communicative and task-based methods faces several obstacles:

Teacher preparedness - Many teachers have limited familiarity with TBLT and feel more comfortable teaching grammar explicitly (Abdurakhmanova, 2020). Institutional constraints - Standardized exams and rigid curricula leave little room for experimentation.

Cultural expectations - Students often expect teacher-centered instruction and may initially resist more open-ended tasks (Temirova, 2019). Despite these challenges, there is growing recognition of the demand for fluency-oriented instruction. Pilot studies in Uzbek universities have shown that when learners engage in communicative projects, debates, or problem-solving activities, they demonstrate increased fluency and motivation (Sodikova, 2022). However, large-scale empirical studies remain scarce.

2.6 Summary

This literature review emphasizes several key points. First, TBLT is grounded in communicative principles and supported by extensive research showing its positive effects on fluency. Second, fluency is a multidimensional construct that can be measured through objective performance indicators and subjective perceptions. Third, while international evidence strongly supports TBLT, its application in Uzbekistan faces challenges of teacher readiness, institutional constraints, and cultural expectations.

Chapter 3: Methodology

3.1 Research Design

This study adopts a quasi-experimental design to investigate the impact of Task-Based Language Teaching (TBLT) on the speaking fluency of B1-level English learners in Uzbekistan. A quasi-experimental approach is appropriate because random assignment of students to experimental and control groups was not feasible in the institutional setting. Instead, intact classes were used, which reflects authentic teaching conditions while still allowing for controlled comparison (Creswell & Creswell, 2018).

The research followed a pre-test - post-test control group design. Two groups of learners were compared:

Experimental group: received instruction using task-based lessons focused on speaking fluency.

Control group: continued with traditional, grammar-focused lesson. This design enabled measurement of fluency gains over time while isolating the instructional method as the main variable.

3.2 Research Context

The study was conducted at a secondary school in Bukhara, Uzbekistan, where English is taught as a foreign language from the early grades. At the B1 level, students are expected to handle everyday communicative situations but often lack fluency and confidence in extended speech. The Uzbek national curriculum emphasizes communicative competence, yet classroom practice frequently remains grammar-translation oriented (Rahmatova, 2021). This context provides a meaningful testing ground for TBLT, as the approach directly addresses the gap between curriculum goals and teaching practices.

3.3 Participants

Participants consisted of 60 students in Grade 10, aged between 15 and 16 years old. They were divided into two intact classes of 30 each:

Experimental group (n = 30)	Control group (n = 30)

All participants had studied English for at least six years, reaching a B1 proficiency level according to the CEFR framework. This level was confirmed through their performance on the school's placement tests and the Oxford Quick Placement Test (Allan, 2004), administered before the study began. The participants were comparable based on age, gender distribution, and prior English exposure, reducing the likelihood of background factors skewing results.

3.4 Instructional Treatment

3.4.1 Experimental Group: Task-Based Instruction

The experimental group received 10 weeks of instruction (three 45-minute lessons per week) designed around TBLT principles (Ellis, 2003; Willis & Willis, 2007). Lessons followed the pre-task, task cycle, and language focus framework: Pre-task phase - introducing the topic, activating background knowledge, and providing planning support.

Task cycle - engaging in meaning-focused tasks such as role-plays, problem-solving, storytelling, and debates. Learners interacted in pairs or groups, producing spoken output with minimal teacher intervention.

Language focus - post-task reflection and analysis of linguistic forms that emerged during the task.

Tasks were sequenced from simple to complex, beginning with familiar everyday scenarios (e.g., describing weekend plans) and progressing to cognitively demanding ones (e.g., negotiating solutions to local problems). This sequencing aimed to gradually increase fluency demands while maintaining learner engagement.

3.4.2 Control Group: Traditional Instruction

The control group followed the same syllabus topics but with traditional grammar-based instruction. Lessons emphasized explicit teaching of grammar rules, vocabulary lists, and written exercises. Speaking practice was limited to controlled drills, recitation, or short responses to teacher questions.

This contrast allowed for a clear comparison of whether task-based instruction led to greater fluency development than the prevailing traditional approach.

3.5 Instruments

To ensure reliable measurement of speaking fluency, multiple instruments were used:

Pre-test and Post-test Speaking Tasks

Learners completed two oral tasks (narrative retelling and problem-solving discussion) at the start and end of the study.

Tasks were recorded for later analysis.

Both tasks were piloted with non-participant students to ensure appropriateness for B1 level.

Fluency Measures

Recorded speech was analyzed using established fluency indicators (Skehan, 2009; Tavakoli & Skehan, 2005):

Speech rate (words per minute).

Mean length of run (syllables between pauses).

Pause frequency and duration.

Repair phenomena (false starts, repetitions).

Student Questionnaire

Administered post-intervention to collect self-reported perceptions of fluency, confidence, and motivation.

Items were adapted from Derwing et al. (2009).

Classroom Observation Checklist:

Used by the researcher to monitor participation, engagement, and use of L2 during lessons.

Provided qualitative data to support test results.

3.6 Data Collection Procedures

Data collection followed three stages:

Pre-test stage (Week 1) - Both groups completed speaking tasks, which were audio-recorded.

Instructional stage (Weeks 2-11) - Experimental group received TBLT lessons; control group continued with traditional instruction. Classroom observations were conducted weekly.

Post-test stage (Week 12) - Both groups completed equivalent speaking tasks under the same conditions as the pre-test. Questionnaires were also distributed to students in the experimental group.

All audio recordings were anonymized and coded to protect student identity.

3.7 Data Analysis

Data analysis combined quantitative and qualitative approaches:

Quantitative analysis

Pre- and post-test fluency scores were compared using paired t-tests and independent t-tests to identify within-group and between-group differences.

Statistical significance was set at $p < .05$.

Effect sizes (Cohen's d) were calculated to measure the strength of differences.

Qualitative analysis

Questionnaire responses were analyzed descriptively to identify patterns in learner attitudes and self-reported fluency improvements.

Observation notes were coded thematically to capture classroom interaction dynamics, such as willingness to speak, use of English vs. Uzbek, and collaborative behaviors. The mixed-methods approach allowed for a richer understanding of both measurable outcomes and learner experiences.

3.8 Validity and Reliability

Several steps were taken to ensure the validity and reliability of findings: Content validity - Tasks were aligned with B1-level descriptors from the CEFR to ensure they measured fluency appropriately.

Inter-rater reliability - Two independent raters scored 20% of recorded samples. Inter-rater reliability was calculated using Cohen's kappa, ensuring consistency of evaluation.

Pilot testing - Instruments were trialed with a small group before implementation, leading to minor adjustments in wording and timing.

Triangulation - Using multiple instruments (tests, questionnaires, observations) strengthened credibility.

3.9 Ethical Considerations

The study adhered to ethical guidelines in educational research (British Association for Applied Linguistics [BAAL], 2016):

Awareness of consent - Students and their parents were told about the study's purpose, procedures, and voluntary nature. Written consent was obtained. Confidentiality - Audio recordings and questionnaires were anonymized. Pseudonyms were used in reporting findings.

Non-maleficence - Both groups received meaningful English instruction, ensuring no participant was disadvantaged.

3.10 Summary

This chapter outlined the methodology of the study, including research design, participants, instructional treatment, instruments, procedures, data analysis, validity, and ethical safeguards. The design allowed for systematic comparison of TBLT and traditional instruction while grounding the study in both quantitative rigor and qualitative depth. The next chapter will present the findings, analyzing the effects of TBLT on learners' speaking fluency.

Chapter 4: Results

This chapter presents the results of the study investigating the impact of Task-Based Language Teaching (TBLT) on the speaking fluency of B1 learners in Uzbekistan. Data are reported in two main sections: (1) quantitative findings from pre- and post-

test analyses of speaking fluency measures, and (2) qualitative findings drawn from student questionnaires and classroom observations.

4.1 Quantitative Findings

4.1.1 Pre-Test Results

At the beginning of the study, both groups completed pre-test speaking tasks. Results indicated no statistically important differences between the experimental and control groups across all fluency measures. This confirms the groups were comparable prior to instruction.

Table 4.1 Pre-Test Scores for Experimental and Control Groups

Fluency Measure	Experimental (n = 30)	Control (n = 30)	t-value	p-value
Speech rate (wpm)	83.4 (SD = 7.9) 82.1 (SD = 8.3)	0.61	0.54	
Mean length of run (syllables)	6.2 (SD = 1.1) 6.0 (SD = 1.2) 0.47	0.64		
Pause frequency (/min)	5.4 (SD = 1.0)	5.5 (SD = 1.2)	-0.31	0.75
Repair phenomena (/min)	4.3 (SD = 0.9)	4.4 (SD = 1.0)	-0.22	0.82

As shown in Table 4.1, baseline differences between groups were negligible, supporting the validity of post-test comparisons.

4.1.2 Post-Test Results

Following 10 weeks of instruction, both groups completed post-test speaking tasks. Results reveal important gains for the experimental group compared to the control group.

Table 4.2 Post-Test Scores for Experimental and Control Groups

Fluency Measure	Experimental (n = 30)	Control (n = 30)	t-value	p-value	Effect Size (d)
Speech rate (wpm)	96.8 (SD = 8.1)	85.1 (SD = 7.8)	5.79	<.001	1.10 (large)
Mean length of run (syllables)	8.1 (SD = 1.3)	6.4 (SD = 1.1)	5.44	<.001	0.98 (large)
Pause frequency (/min)	4.0 (SD = 0.8)	5.2 (SD = 1.1)	-4.88	<.001	0.90 (large)

Repair phenomena (/min)	3.6 (SD = 0.9)	4.3 (SD = 0.8)	-3.29	.002	0.63 (moderate)

The results show that:

Speech rate increased for the experimental group (+13.4 wpm), compared to a minor improvement in the control group (+3.0 wpm).

Mean length of run improved substantially, indicating longer, smoother stretches of speech.

Pause frequency declined in the experimental group, reflecting more fluid expression.

Repair phenomena (e.g., self-corrections) decreased, suggesting greater confidence and planning ability.

4.1.3 Within-Group Comparisons

Paired-sample t-tests showed that the experimental group made statistically simportant gains across all fluency measures (all $p < .01$). In contrast, the control group improved slightly in speech rate but not in other measures, indicating limited impact of traditional instruction.

4.2 Qualitative Findings

4.2.1 Student Questionnaire Results

The post-intervention questionnaire (administered only to the experimental group) explored learners' perceptions of TBLT.

Confidence in speaking: 83% of learners reported feeling "more confident" or "much more confident" when speaking English after the intervention. Perceived fluency: 76% felt they could "speak faster and with fewer pauses." Motivation: 70% stated that TBLT lessons were "more enjoyable" than traditional lessons, emphasizing the value of real-life tasks.

Challenges: 20% reported initial anxiety about open-ended tasks, though this decreased over time.

Representative student comment: "At first I was afraid because the teacher didn't correct me immediately, but later I realized I was speaking more and not worrying about mistakes."

4.2.2 Classroom Observations

Observation notes revealed several recurring themes:

Increased Participation - In the TBLT classroom, nearly all students participated in pair and group work, while in the control group, participation was limited to a few volunteers.

Greater L2 Use - Students in the experimental group used English consistently during tasks, while control group students often reverted to Uzbek.

Authentic Interaction - Learners negotiated meaning, asked follow-up questions, and extended conversations during tasks. This contrasted with the control group's limited turn-taking.

Teacher Role Shift - Teachers in the experimental group acted as facilitators, circulating and observing, while teachers in the control group dominated classroom talk.

4.3 Summary of Results

The findings demonstrate that:

TBLT led to important gains in speaking fluency, with large effect sizes across key measures (speech rate, length of run, pauses).

Learners perceived TBLT as beneficial for confidence and motivation, despite some initial adjustment challenges.

Observations confirmed more active, communicative classrooms in the experimental group compared to the control group.

Overall, the evidence strongly supports the hypothesis that TBLT enhances speaking fluency among B1 learners in Uzbekistan.

Chapter 5: Discussion

This chapter discusses the findings of the present study on the impact of Task-Based Language Teaching (TBLT) on speaking fluency among B1 learners in Uzbekistan. The results are considered in relation to the research questions, existing theoretical perspectives, and prior empirical studies. The discussion is organized around four main themes: (1) effectiveness of TBLT for fluency development, (2) the fluency-accuracy-complexity balance, (3) learner perceptions of TBLT, and (4) contextual challenges in the Uzbek EFL environment.

5.1 Effectiveness of TBLT for Fluency Development

The most important finding of this study is that TBLT improved learners' speaking fluency. The experimental group showed large gains in speech rate, mean length of run, and reductions in pause frequency compared to the control group. These results align with the predictions of task-based learning theory, which posits that meaning-focused communication encourages learners to process language more quickly and efficiently (Ellis, 2003; Willis & Willis, 2007). The finding resonates with Bygate's (2015) argument that tasks provide conditions for repeated practice, thereby allowing learners to automatize their speech. Learners in this study appeared to benefit from the repeated cycles of task preparation, performance, and feedback, which gradually led to smoother speech production. The strong effect sizes found here are consistent with international studies (Carless, 2007; East, 2012), confirming the value of TBLT beyond Western contexts. Importantly, the improvements were not confined to quantitative measures alone. Learners themselves reported feeling more confident and motivated, suggesting that fluency gains were not only measurable but also perceived and experienced as meaningful.

5.2 Balancing Fluency, Accuracy, and Complexity

One of the long-standing debates in second language acquisition concerns the trade-offs between fluency, accuracy, and complexity (Skehan, 2009). The present findings indicate that fluency improved most noticeably, while accuracy showed only minor gains, and in some cases, learners produced slightly more grammatical errors. This outcome supports Skehan's "trade-off hypothesis," which argues that learners cannot maximize fluency, accuracy, and complexity simultaneously due to cognitive processing limits. The slight decline in accuracy for some learners does not diminish the value of fluency improvements. Instead, it emphasizes the demand for teachers to

design tasks that balance communicative freedom with focused language support. For example, Ellis (2017) suggests that "task-supported language teaching," which integrates occasional attention to form, can ensure fluency gains without sacrificing grammatical development. This study demonstrates that in Uzbekistan, where learners often have strong grammatical knowledge but limited communicative practice, prioritizing fluency through TBLT may be pedagogically justified. Fluency can act as the foundation upon which accuracy and complexity are later consolidated.

5.3 Learner Perceptions of TBLT

The questionnaire and observation data show that students generally viewed TBLT positively. Most learners reported feeling more confident and motivated to speak, while classroom observations revealed higher participation rates and greater use of English. These findings align with East's (2012) research in New Zealand and Carless's (2007) study in Hong Kong, both of which emphasize that students value the authenticity and interactivity of task-based lessons. Learner reflections also suggest that TBLT helps reduce speaking anxiety. By shifting the classroom focus from error correction to meaning-making, TBLT created a safer environment for risk-taking in communication. One learner's remark "I realized I was speaking more and not worrying about mistakes" captures this affective dimension. Such findings are particularly relevant in the Uzbek context, where learners are often hesitant to speak due to fear of making errors. Nonetheless, a minority of students initially expressed discomfort with open-ended tasks, indicating the demand for gradual scaffolding. This is consistent with Willis and Willis's (2007) recommendation that learners require time to adjust to the freedom and responsibility in task-based lessons.

5.4 Contextual Challenges in Uzbekistan

Although the results are encouraging, the study also emphasizes challenges in implementing TBLT in Uzbekistan. First, teacher readiness appeared as a main issue. Many Uzbek teachers have been trained in traditional, grammar-focused methodologies, and shifting toward a task-based approach requires substantial professional development. This finding mirrors international concerns (Carless, 2007), where teachers often struggle to reconcile TBLT with existing curricula and assessment systems. Second, institutional constraints such as limited classroom time, large class sizes, and rigid syllabi may hinder the full implementation of TBLT. In some cases, schools prioritize exam preparation, which favors grammar drills over communicative practice. This tension reflects a broader challenge faced in many EFL contexts where high-stakes testing drives instructional practices. Third, resource limitations must be considered. While TBLT does not necessarily require advanced technology, it does benefit from access to authentic materials and flexible classroom environments. In Uzbekistan, such resources may be unevenly available, especially in rural areas. Despite these obstacles, the study suggests that TBLT can be adapted successfully if teachers receive appropriate training and institutional support. Pilot programs and gradual integration of tasks into existing curricula may provide a feasible starting point.

5.5 Implications for Theory and Practice

The findings of this study have several implications. Theoretically, they confirm that TBLT improves fluency by creating opportunities for meaning-focused interaction, supporting both interactionist and usage-based perspectives on second language acquisition. Practically, the results suggest that TBLT can be an effective and culturally adaptable approach in Uzbekistan, provided that teachers are trained and curricula are adjusted to accommodate task-based activities. The study contributes to the relatively limited body of Central Asian research on TBLT. While much of the existing literature is based on East Asian or Western contexts, this thesis demonstrates that TBLT principles are transferable to the Uzbek EFL classroom, albeit with context-specific adaptations. In summary, the discussion confirms that TBLT enhances speaking fluency among B1 learners in Uzbekistan. While accuracy gains were modest, fluency improvements were powerful, and learners expressed positive attitudes toward task-based instruction. Contextual challenges such as teacher readiness and institutional constraints remain, but they do not negate the potential of TBLT to reshape English instruction in Uzbekistan. Instead, they show the demand for support, professional development, and careful adaptation.

Chapter 6: Conclusion and Recommendations

6.1 Summary of the Study

This thesis set out to investigate the impact of Task-Based Language Teaching (TBLT) on the speaking fluency of B1-level English learners in Uzbekistan. Recognizing the challenges faced by Uzbek learners - strong grammatical knowledge but weak oral fluency - the study sought to determine whether task-based approaches could bridge this gap. The study employed a quasi-experimental design with two groups: an experimental group exposed to TBLT instruction and a control group taught through more traditional, grammar-focused methods. Data were collected through pre- and post-tests, classroom observations, and learner questionnaires. The findings revealed several important patterns. First, TBLT improved learners' fluency, as demonstrated by increased speech rate, longer utterance runs, and fewer pauses. Second, while accuracy gains were modest, the fluency-accuracy trade-off was consistent with second language acquisition theory. Third, learners reported positive attitudes toward TBLT, noting that it enhanced their confidence, motivation, and willingness to speak. Finally, the study identified contextual challenges, including teacher preparedness, exam-driven curricula, and limited resources, which may affect large-scale implementation of TBLT in Uzbekistan.

6.2 Pedagogical Implications

The findings have several direct implications for English language teaching in Uzbekistan.

Prioritizing Fluency in Instruction

Traditional Uzbek classrooms often emphasize grammatical accuracy at the expense of oral fluency. This study demonstrates the pedagogical value of shifting the balance toward fluency-building activities. Teachers should be encouraged to design lessons that integrate communicative tasks, such as role-plays, problem-solving discussions, and information-gap activities.

Task Design and Scaffolding

Successful implementation of TBLT requires careful task design. Tasks must be authentic, meaningful, and appropriately challenging for learners' proficiency levels. Scaffolding strategies such as pre-task vocabulary building, modeling, and group work can support learners who initially struggle with open-ended communication.

Teacher Training and Professional Development

A key finding of this study is that teacher readiness is important. Many Uzbek teachers are unfamiliar with TBLT principles and need professional development opportunities to build both theoretical understanding and practical classroom skills. Workshops, peer observations, and mentoring programs could act as effective platforms for training.

6.3 Policy Recommendations

Beyond the classroom, the findings carry implications for educational policy and curriculum development.

Integration of TBLT into National Curricula

The Uzbek Ministry of Public Education could gradually incorporate task-based approaches into national English syllabi. This does not require wholesale replacement of existing curricula but can be achieved through a blended approach where traditional instruction is supplemented by communicative tasks.

Assessment Reform

High-stakes examinations in Uzbekistan remain heavily grammar-oriented. To encourage communicative teaching, assessment systems must reflect fluency goals. Introducing oral components in exams would incentivize teachers and learners to engage more actively in spoken communication.

Resource Allocation

Equitable distribution of resources - authentic materials, audio-visual tools, and classroom facilities - is necessary for TBLT to succeed. Policymakers should prioritize providing schools, especially in rural regions, with resources that support communicative learning.

6.4 Limitations of the Study

While this research makes valuable contributions, it is not without limitations. Sample Size and Scope: The study involved a limited number of learners from a single educational institution. Larger-scale studies across diverse regions of Uzbekistan would provide more generalizable findings.

Duration of Intervention: The treatment period was relatively short. Longer-term studies could explore whether fluency gains are sustained over time and how they interact with accuracy and complexity.

Focus on Fluency: The research prioritized fluency measures. Future studies might adopt a more balanced framework that equally considers accuracy, complexity, and pragmatic competence. These limitations should be acknowledged, but they do not undermine the overall conclusion that TBLT is a promising methodology for the Uzbek EFL context.

6.5 Suggestions for Future Research

Several avenues for future research emerge from this thesis.

Longitudinal Studies

Future researchers could examine the long-term impact of TBLT on fluency and accuracy, tracking learners across several semesters or years.

Comparative Methodologies

It would be useful to compare TBLT with other communicative approaches, such as Content and Language Integrated Learning (CLIL) or Project-Based Learning (PBL), to determine relative effectiveness in Uzbekistan.

Teacher Perspectives

While this study focused on learners, future research could investigate teachers' perceptions, challenges, and strategies in implementing TBLT.

Technology Integration

Exploring how digital tools - such as online task platforms, video conferencing, and language learning apps - can support task-based learning in Uzbekistan represents another promising direction.

6.6 Final Reflections

This thesis has shown that TBLT holds important potential to alter English language education in Uzbekistan. By shifting the focus from knowledge about language to actual use of language, TBLT improves fluency, confidence, and learner engagement. While challenges exist - particularly regarding teacher readiness, assessment structures, and resource constraints - these can be addressed through thoughtful policy changes and professional development initiatives. The study demonstrates that Uzbek learners are capable of benefiting from communicative approaches. If supported by teachers, institutions, and policymakers, TBLT could play a central role in preparing Uzbek students to communicate effectively in English in academic, professional, and global contexts.

References

Bygate, M. (2015). *Domains and directions in the development of TBLT*. John Benjamins.

Bygate, M. (2016). Sources, developments and directions of task-based language teaching. *The Language Learning Journal, 44*(4), 381–400.

Carless, D. (2007). The suitability of task-based approaches for secondary schools: Perspectives from Hong Kong. *System, 35*(4), 595–608.

Carless, D. (2009). Revisiting the TBLT versus P-P-P debate: Voices from Hong Kong. *Asian Journal of English Language Teaching, 19*, 49–66.

East, M. (2012). *Task-based language teaching from the teachers' perspective: Insights from New Zealand classrooms*. John Benjamins.

East, M. (2017). Research into practice: The task-based approach to instructed second language acquisition. *Language Teaching, 50*(3), 412–424.

Ellis, R. (2003). *Task-based language learning and teaching*. Oxford University Press.

Ellis, R. (2017). Task-based language teaching: Sorting out the misunderstandings. *International Journal of Applied Linguistics, 27*(2), 386–406.

Long, M. H. (2015). *Second language acquisition and task-based language teaching*. Routledge.

Nunan, D. (2004). *Task-based language teaching*. Cambridge University Press.

Richards, J. C., & Rodgers, T. S. (2014). *Approaches and methods in language teaching* (3rd ed.). Cambridge University Press.

Skehan, P. (2009). Modelling second language performance: Integrating complexity, accuracy, fluency, and lexis. *Applied Linguistics, 30*(4), 510–532.
Skehan, P. (2018). *Second language task-based performance: Theory, research, assessment*. Routledge.
Tavakoli, P., & Skehan, P. (2005). Strategic planning, task structure, and performance testing. In R. Ellis (Ed.), *Planning and task performance in a second language* (pp. 239–273). John Benjamins.
Van den Branden, K. (2006). *Task-based language education: From theory to practice*. Cambridge University Press.
Willis, D., & Willis, J. (2007). *Doing task-based teaching*. Oxford University Press.