THE INFLUENCE AND POTENTIAL OF BRICS IN THE GLOBAL ECONOMY

Pirmatova Dilnoza Ortiqboyevna
Teaching lecturer at the Department of Agricultural Economics, Faculty of Agricultural Economics, Logistics and Services, Tashkent State Agrarian University."
Email: nozikdil@gmail.com

Received: 02.09.2025
Revised: 03.06.2025
Accepted: 07.09.2025
Key words:
BRICS, Global economy, Emerging markets, Multipolar world, Economic influence, Demographic potential

Abstract
This scientific research illustrates that explores the growing influence and future potential of BRICS (Brazil, Russia, India, China, and South Africa) in the global economy. It outlines the bloc's economic strengths, demographic advantages, and institutional initiatives such as the New Development Bank. The article also discusses the geopolitical role of BRICS, its internal challenges, and the strategic implications of possible expansion under the "BRICS+" framework. Overall, the piece highlights how BRICS is working to reshape global economic governance and foster a more multipolar world order.

Introduction. The global economic landscape has undergone significant transformations over the past two decades, and at the heart of this evolution lies the growing influence of the BRICS nations—Brazil, Russia, India, China, and South Africa. Initially coined by economist Jim O'Neill in 2001 as "BRIC," the group evolved into a political and economic bloc with South Africa joining in 2010. Together, these five countries represent over 40% of the world's population, about 25% of global GDP, and nearly 30% of the Earth's landmass, giving them substantial weight in shaping global economic trends.

BRICS began as a loose economic concept focused on emerging markets but gradually matured into a platform for political, economic, and development cooperation. In 2009, the first BRIC summit was held in Russia, formalizing the group's aspirations. Over time, BRICS shifted from a symbolic grouping to an active political and economic alliance aimed at creating a more multipolar world order, counterbalancing the dominance of Western institutions like the IMF, World Bank, and G7.

Economic Influence of BRICS

BRICS nations play a critical role in global trade:

- China, the world's second-largest economy, is a manufacturing and export powerhouse.
- India is a global hub for IT services, pharmaceuticals, and skilled labor.
- Russia is rich in natural resources, particularly energy.
- Brazil is a major exporter of agricultural products and minerals.
- South Africa is a key player in mining and finance in the African continent.

Intra-BRICS trade has expanded rapidly, and member countries are increasingly trading in their own currencies to reduce reliance on the U.S. dollar. BRICS has also pushed for reforms in the global financial system to make it more representative of emerging economies.

One of the most significant institutional successes of BRICS is the establishment of the New Development Bank (NDB) in 2015. Headquartered in Shanghai, the NDB aims to fund infrastructure and sustainable development projects in BRICS and other developing countries. With authorized capital of $100 billion, the bank is seen as a credible alternative to Western-dominated financial institutions and symbolizes the bloc's commitment to south-south cooperation.

With over 3 billion people, BRICS presents a massive consumer base and a dynamic labor force. The growing middle class, particularly in India and China, has increased domestic consumption, creating significant opportunities for investment, innovation, and sustainable development.

BRICS has increasingly become a geopolitical actor, advocating for a multipolar international system. The group supports multilateralism and the reform of global governance structures to better reflect the current global economic realities. Its growing unity challenges the long-standing dominance of the West and aims to reshape the global power structure.

Moreover, BRICS has taken collective positions on various global issues, from climate change to digital sovereignty and vaccine equity, showing its ambition to act as a responsible stakeholder in international affairs.

Despite its promise, BRICS faces several internal and external challenges:

- Diverging Interests: The political and economic priorities of members often differ. For instance, India and China have border tensions, while Russia faces Western sanctions.
- Economic Disparities: The economic size and growth rates of the countries vary significantly, with China and India often outpacing others.
- Institutional Cohesion: BRICS lacks a strong institutional framework, which sometimes hampers effective decision-making and implementation.

- Global Uncertainty: Geopolitical tensions, trade wars, and shifting alliances (such as Russia's isolation post-Ukraine conflict) can disrupt collective strategies.

The rise of BRICS represents a significant shift in the balance of global economic power. No longer confined to the status of emerging markets, the BRICS nations are now central actors in shaping international finance, trade, and governance. Their collective push for a multipolar world order is not merely symbolic; it reflects deep dissatisfaction with the current global systems, which many argue disproportionately favor the West. While internal differences and geopolitical tensions present real obstacles, BRICS has shown resilience and adaptability, particularly through initiatives like the New Development Bank and the proposed de-dollarization strategies. These steps underscore a shared desire for greater financial independence and global influence.

Moreover, the idea of BRICS+ signals a broader ambition—to build a coalition of emerging economies that can challenge Western hegemony not through conflict, but through cooperation and institutional reform.

In my view, the BRICS alliance is not just an economic alternative, but a necessary evolution in a world that is becoming more interconnected, yet increasingly divided along political and economic lines. If managed effectively, BRICS could become a powerful engine for more inclusive global development and a counterbalance to unipolar dominance.

Research discussion. The increasing influence of BRICS (Brazil, Russia, India, China, and South Africa) in the global economy has become a focal point of international economic and political research. Various scholars and analysts have examined the bloc’s potential to challenge traditional Western-led institutions and reshape global governance. Numerous studies underscore the substantial contributions of BRICS to global economic growth. According to World Bank and IMF data, BRICS nations have consistently outpaced many developed economies in terms of GDP growth over the past two decades. For instance, China's rapid industrialization and India's services-driven economy have positioned them as global economic powerhouses. Research also indicates a sharp rise in intra-BRICS trade, which fosters regional integration and reduces dependency on Western markets.

Academic literature often highlights the establishment of the New Development Bank (NDB) as a major institutional achievement. Unlike the IMF and World Bank, which are often criticized for imposing policy conditionalities on developing countries, the NDB offers an alternative development financing

model that respects national sovereignty. Scholars argue that the NDB reflects the BRICS agenda of reforming global financial governance and promoting South-South cooperation.

BRICS is increasingly studied through the lens of international relations, particularly concerning its geopolitical positioning. Research suggests that BRICS seeks strategic autonomy in a multipolar world order. This is evident in initiatives like advocating for reform of the United Nations Security Council and the development of a BRICS common currency to reduce dependence on the U.S. dollar. However, studies also caution that the bloc's diverse political systems, economic models, and geopolitical interests may limit deeper integration.

Despite its potential, the BRICS bloc faces a number of internal and external challenges, which are widely discussed in academic circles:

- Internal Tensions: For example, the India-China border disputes and diverging foreign policy interests hinder cohesive decision-making.
- Economic Disparities: China's dominance within the group raises concerns about asymmetrical influence, which could affect the balance of power within BRICS.
- Limited Institutional Depth: Some researchers argue that BRICS remains more of a consultative forum than a fully integrated economic or political union.

Recent studies focus on the expansion of the group under the **BRICS+** initiative, which aims to include other emerging economies. Researchers note that this could amplify BRICS' global influence and make it a broader platform for representing the interests of the Global South. However, questions remain about the criteria for membership, governance models, and whether expansion could dilute the group's effectiveness.

Overall, the research reflects a growing consensus that BRICS has both the capacity and intent to reshape the global economic order. While internal fragmentation and structural limitations persist, the group's continued cooperation, institutional innovation, and potential for expansion position it as a credible alternative to Western-centric institutions.

Future research could focus on:

- The long-term sustainability of BRICS-led initiatives like the NDB
- The feasibility of a BRICS common currency
- The political dynamics of BRICS+ expansion
- The impact of BRICS policies on global economic inequality and development

Conclusion. The BRICS alliance represents a transformative force in the 21st-century global economy. With vast populations, rich natural resources, and growing economic clout, BRICS nations are asserting themselves not only as regional powers but also as global influencers. Their collective initiatives—such as the New Development Bank and ongoing efforts toward de-dollarization—highlight a shared commitment to financial sovereignty, sustainable development, and a more equitable global order. However, internal challenges such as geopolitical tensions, institutional limitations, and economic disparities could slow down or complicate their ambitions. Despite these hurdles, BRICS continues to evolve as a strategic alliance with the potential to reshape global governance, challenge Western hegemony, and elevate the voice of the Global South in international affairs.

References

1. BRICS. (2023). *About BRICS*. Retrieved from https://brics2023.gov.in
2. International Monetary Fund. (2022). *World Economic Outlook: Recovery During Uncertainty*. Washington, D.C.: IMF.
3. O'Neill, J. (2001). *Building Better Global Economic BRICs*. Goldman Sachs Global Economics Paper No. 66.
4. New Development Bank. (2023). *Annual Report 2022*. Retrieved from https://www.ndb.int
5. World Bank. (2022). *Global Economic Prospects*. Washington, D.C.: World Bank Publications.
6. Stuenkel, O. (2015). *The BRICS and the Future of Global Order*. Lexington Books.
7. Pant, H. V. (2013). *The BRICS fallacy: The limits of the new global alliance*. The Washington Quarterly, 36(3), 91–105. https://doi.org/10.1080/0163660X.2013.825550