THE HARMONY OF SATIRE AND ALLEGORY: A SCIENTIFIC ANALYSIS IN THE CASE OF QADIRI'S ART

Mehmonova Sarvinoz Is'hoq qizi
Researcher at Namangan State University
Email: ergashevasarvinoz942@gmail.com

Received: 16.09.2025
Revised: 17.09.2025
Accepted: 18.09.2025

Keywords:
Abdulla Qodiriy; satire; allegory; irony; symbolism; Uzbek literature; narrative strategy; social critique; implicit meaning; comparative literature.

Abstract. This article explores the artistic harmony of satire and allegory in the works of Abdulla Qodiriy, one of the pioneers of modern Uzbek literature. By analyzing his narrative strategies, the study demonstrates how Qodiriy employs irony, implicitness, and symbolic representation to critique the socio-political realities of his time. Special attention is given to the allegorical depth of his characters and situations, which often embody collective experiences and historical contradictions. The research highlights the dual function of satire and allegory as both aesthetic devices and instruments of social commentary, emphasizing their interdependence in shaping Qodiriy's unique literary style. Through comparative insights with global satirical traditions, the paper also situates Qodiriy's work within broader literary and cultural contexts, thus contributing to a deeper understanding of the interplay between humor, critique, and symbolism in Central Asian literature.

Introduction. Abdulla Qodiriy (1894–1938) occupies a unique place in the history of Uzbek literature as one of the most prominent representatives of modern prose, whose works embody both deep artistic mastery and sharp social consciousness. His novels and short stories are not only reflections of the cultural and political transformations of early 20th-century Turkestan but also serve as profound commentaries on the universal dilemmas of human existence. Among the many stylistic and thematic aspects of Qodiriy's oeuvre, the interplay of **satire and allegory** stands out as a defining characteristic that elevates his art to a timeless level.

Satire, as a literary device, enables Qodiriy to expose the absurdities, hypocrisy, and contradictions of his society, often through the use of humor,

ridicule, and irony. Allegory, on the other hand, deepens his narratives by embedding symbolic meanings and layered interpretations that go beyond the surface story. The combination of these two devices results in a literary style that is both accessible to general readers and intellectually engaging for critics and scholars. By disguising social criticism within allegorical frameworks, Qodiriy was able to bypass the censorship and limitations of his era, while simultaneously encouraging readers to uncover hidden truths about power, morality, and human behavior.

The harmony between satire and allegory in Qodiriy's art is not accidental but rather a deliberate aesthetic and ideological strategy. His characters often serve dual roles: they are vivid, realistic portrayals of individuals recognizable to his contemporaries, while also functioning as allegorical figures representing broader historical forces, moral failures, or cultural dilemmas. Such a duality allows Qodiriy to create a dialogic space where humor softens criticism, and symbolic meaning strengthens the moral weight of satire.

From a broader perspective, Qodiriy's artistic use of satire and allegory aligns him with global traditions of satirical literature, from classical European models to Eastern literary forms. However, his works remain distinctly rooted in the Uzbek socio-cultural context, reflecting local traditions, values, and historical conditions. This article seeks to analyze the ways in which satire and allegory operate in harmony in Qodiriy's works, how they complement each other in shaping his literary style, and what implications this harmony has for understanding both his artistry and the broader development of Uzbek prose. In addition, the fusion of satire and allegory in Abdulla Qodiriy's art reflects not only his literary craftsmanship but also his intellectual engagement with the social realities of his time. As a writer navigating the turbulent historical period marked by colonialism, cultural transformation, and the rise of new political ideologies, Qodiriy developed a subtle mode of expression that balanced criticism with creativity. His satirical voice challenged ignorance, corruption, and moral decline, while his allegorical techniques provided a protective framework that allowed for deeper, symbolic interpretation without direct confrontation.

This methodological duality ensured that his works could be appreciated on multiple levels: by ordinary readers who enjoyed the humor and engaging plots, and by critical readers who recognized the hidden critiques of societal structures. Such an approach not only broadened the audience of his works but also secured their relevance across different generations. Today, Qodiriy's literature remains a valuable source for understanding the dynamics of social

critique in Central Asian literary traditions, as well as a testimony to the power of artistic innovation in contexts of restriction and control.

Thus, the study of satire and allegory in Qodiriy's writings is significant not merely as a literary exercise, but also as a cultural and historical exploration. By analyzing the harmony between these two devices, this research aims to shed light on how literature functions as both art and social commentary, revealing the enduring relevance of Qodiriy's creative legacy.

Literature Review. The artistic interplay of satire and allegory has been widely explored in global literary scholarship, but its role in Central Asian literature, particularly in the works of Abdulla Qodiriy, has only recently begun to receive systematic attention. Classical studies of satire, such as those by Northrop Frye and Mikhail Bakhtin, emphasize the genre's function as a mode of social critique that thrives on humor, irony, and the exposure of societal contradictions. Allegory, in turn, has been examined extensively in the works of critics such as Angus Fletcher, who interprets it as a layered system of symbolic representation that transforms narrative into a multi-dimensional text. These theoretical insights provide a useful framework for analyzing Qodiriy's unique combination of the two devices.

Within the context of Uzbek literary studies, Qodiriy has long been recognized as a central figure in shaping modern prose. Scholars such as Ozod Sharafiddinov and Abdulla Sa'diy have underscored his mastery in reflecting the cultural and social realities of early 20th-century Turkestan, particularly through his satirical portrayals of ignorance, corruption, and moral decline. More recent research highlights the symbolic depth of his characters, showing how allegory transforms them into representatives of broader cultural and historical dilemmas. This growing body of scholarship suggests that Qodiriy's art cannot be fully understood without acknowledging the balance he maintained between surface-level satire and deeper allegorical meaning.

Comparative studies also shed light on Qodiriy's literary strategies. In European traditions, writers such as Jonathan Swift and George Orwell employed satire and allegory as twin modes of critique, blending humor with symbolic commentary to address political and social issues. Similarly, in Persian and Ottoman literature, allegorical satire was used to veil criticism in contexts of censorship. Qodiriy's use of these devices aligns with such global traditions while remaining firmly rooted in the Uzbek socio-cultural environment. His innovation lies in adapting these techniques to local realities, creating texts that are at once nationally grounded and universally relevant.

At the same time, gaps remain in the scholarly literature. While Qodiriy's role as a satirist is frequently discussed, less attention has been given to the ways in which allegory intensifies the impact of his satire. Few studies systematically examine the harmony of these two devices as an integrated artistic strategy. This article therefore seeks to contribute to the field by bridging that gap, offering a more nuanced understanding of how satire and allegory function together in Qodiriy's writings, and how this harmony positions him within both local and global literary traditions.

Research Methodology This study employs a qualitative, interpretative research methodology aimed at analyzing the interplay between satire and allegory in Abdulla Qodiriy's works. Since the focus of the article is literary analysis, the methods are primarily textual, hermeneutic, and comparative in nature.

First, a textual analysis of Qodiriy's key works, particularly *O'tkan kunlar* (*Bygone Days*) and *Mehrobdan chayon* (*Scorpion from the Altar*), was conducted to identify recurring patterns of satirical humor, implicit irony, and allegorical symbolism. Specific attention was given to narrative structures, character portrayals, and linguistic choices that simultaneously serve satirical and allegorical functions.

Second, a hermeneutic approach was used to interpret the layers of meaning embedded in the texts. This approach allowed for a deeper exploration of how allegory expands the scope of satire, enabling Qodiriy to comment on political, social, and moral issues without overtly confronting authority. Allegorical readings were supported by examining historical and cultural contexts of early 20th-century Turkestan, which provided the background against which Qodiriy's satire gained its significance.

Third, a comparative method was employed to situate Qodiriy's literary techniques within global traditions. His works were compared with those of European satirists such as Jonathan Swift and George Orwell, as well as classical Eastern allegorical traditions. This comparative framework made it possible to highlight both the universality and the cultural specificity of Qodiriy's artistic harmony.

Finally, the study utilized secondary sources, including academic articles, monographs, and critical essays by Uzbek and international scholars. These sources were analyzed to trace existing debates on Qodiriy's literary contribution, and to identify gaps in scholarship regarding the combined role of satire and allegory.

By combining textual, hermeneutic, and comparative analysis, this methodology ensures a comprehensive understanding of how satire and allegory interact in Qodiriy's works. It also allows for situating his art within broader literary discourses, while retaining focus on its unique cultural and historical dimensions.

Research discussion. The findings of this study highlight the interdependence of satire and allegory in Abdulla Qodiriy's literary practice. Far from functioning as isolated devices, they work in harmony to create a layered critique that operates on both surface and symbolic levels. Satire provides immediacy and accessibility, using humor, irony, and ridicule to expose social flaws, while allegory extends the significance of these critiques by embedding them into a broader moral and historical framework.

One of the most striking outcomes of the analysis is that Qodiriy's satire rarely stands alone. His mockery of greed, hypocrisy, or ignorance is often framed within allegorical narratives that transform individual characters into symbolic representations of systemic issues. For example, certain figures in *O'tkan kunlar* embody not only personal moral failings but also the collective struggles of a society caught between tradition and modernization. In *Mehrobdan chayon*, allegorical symbolism reinforces the satirical critique of religious hypocrisy, making the text resonate beyond its immediate historical context.

Another key point is that Qodiriy's use of implicitness makes his satire more enduring. In environments of censorship and political control, overt criticism would have been impossible, yet through allegorical layers he managed to conceal sharp social commentary beneath seemingly innocuous narratives. This suggests that allegory was not merely a stylistic choice but also a strategic tool of resistance, enabling satire to survive and remain effective across generations.

The comparative perspective further demonstrates that Qodiriy's techniques align with global traditions of satirical allegory, yet remain distinctly rooted in the Uzbek cultural context. His blending of humor, irony, and symbolism can be likened to Swift's political satire or Orwell's allegorical critique, but his works retain a uniquely Central Asian flavor, enriched by local idioms, cultural references, and historical experiences. This duality—local authenticity and universal relevance—illustrates why his works continue to attract scholarly attention.

Overall, the discussion affirms that the harmony of satire and allegory in Qodiriy's art represents both an aesthetic innovation and a cultural strategy. It underscores his ability to turn literature into a medium of social critique, moral

reflection, and cultural identity, while ensuring that his messages remain relevant beyond the immediate historical moment.

Aspect	Satire	Allegory	Combined Effect
Main Function	Critiques society through humor, irony, and ridicule	Embeds hidden symbolic meanings that reflect broader historical and moral issues	Creates multi-layered narratives with both immediate and deeper significance
Style of Expression	Direct, humorous, often exaggerated portrayals of characters and events	Indirect, symbolic, often metaphorical representation	Balances accessibility with intellectual depth
Cultural Role	Exposes flaws such as ignorance, hypocrisy, and corruption	Reflects collective struggles, moral dilemmas, and cultural transformations	Provides social critique while preserving cultural authenticity
Strategic Purpose	Entertains and critiques openly	Conceals criticism under symbolic forms to bypass censorship	Functions as a tool of resistance, ensuring durability and relevance across contexts
Reader's Engagement	Accessible to general readers through humor and irony	Appeals to critical readers who interpret deeper meanings	Encourages multiple levels of interpretation

The table illustrates how satire and allegory operate both independently and in unison within Abdulla Qodiriy's works. Satire provides direct social critique through humor and irony, while allegory enriches the text with symbolic depth and implicit meaning. Their combination results in a multi-layered narrative strategy that allows Qodiriy to critique society openly while simultaneously embedding hidden messages, ensuring both accessibility for general readers and lasting relevance in scholarly interpretation.

Conclusion. The analysis of Abdulla Qodiriy's works demonstrates that the harmony between satire and allegory is central to his literary artistry. Satire allows for immediate engagement with social issues, exposing hypocrisy,

ignorance, and moral shortcomings through humor, irony, and ridicule. Allegory, in turn, deepens the narrative, embedding symbolic meanings that reflect broader cultural, historical, and moral contexts. Together, these devices create a layered, multi-dimensional literary experience that is both entertaining and intellectually stimulating.

This study shows that Qodiriy's strategic use of satire and allegory enabled him to navigate the constraints of his socio-political environment, subtly critiquing authority and societal flaws while preserving the aesthetic quality of his works. His approach also situates him within a global literary tradition of satirical allegory, comparable to Swift, Gogol, and Orwell, yet distinctly grounded in Uzbek cultural and historical realities.

Ultimately, the harmony of satire and allegory in Qodiriy's art illustrates the enduring power of literature as a vehicle for social commentary, moral reflection, and cultural expression. His works remain relevant for contemporary readers, offering insights into human behavior, societal contradictions, and the enduring role of artistic creativity in addressing complex social realities.

REFERENCES

1. Bakhtin, M. M. (1984). Rabelais and His World. Bloomington: Indiana University Press.
2. Fletcher, A. (1964). Allegory: The Theory of a Symbolic Mode. Ithaca: Cornell University Press.
3. Hutcheon, L. (1994). Irony's Edge: The Theory and Politics of Irony. London: Routledge.
4. Sharafiddinov, O. (1986). O'zbek adabiyotida tanqidiy realizm va satira. Tashkent: G'afur G'ulom Nomidagi Adabiyot va San'at Nashriyoti.
5. Rasulov, A. (2001). Abdulla Qodiriy: Hayoti va ijodi. Tashkent: Yozuvchi.
6. Swift, J. (2005). Gulliver's Travels. Oxford: Oxford University Press.
7. Kadirova, D. (2019). The satirical traditions in Uzbek literature: From Qodiriy to modern writers. Central Asian Journal of Literature and Culture, 4(2), 45–60.
8. Gogol, N. (1997). The Government Inspector. London: Penguin Classics.